Lottery Scheduling

Flexible Proportional-Share Resource Management

Carl A. Waldspurger William E. Weihl

Parallel Software Group MIT Laboratory for Computer Science

November 15, 1994

Overview

- Scheduling Issues
- Lottery Scheduling
- Implementation
- Experiments
- Related Work
- Conclusions

Scheduling Issues

Context

- multiplex scarce resources
- concurrently executing clients
- service requests of varying importance
- Quality of Service
- Software Engineering

Conventional Scheduling

Priority Scheduling

- absolute control (but crude)
- decay-usage scheduling

Problems

- often ad hoc
- resource rights don't vary smoothly
- unable to control service rates
- no modular abstraction

Solution: Lottery Scheduling

- Easily Understood Behavior
- Resource Rights Vary Smoothly
- Flexible Control Over Service Rates
- Modular Abstraction

Lottery Scheduling Basics

- Randomized Mechanism
- Lottery Tickets
 - encapsulate resource rights
 - issued in different amounts
 - first-class objects

Lotteries

- randomly select winning ticket
- grant resource to client holding winning ticket

Example Lottery

total = 20 random [1 .. 20] = 15



Lottery Scheduling Advantages

Probabilistic Guarantees

- throughput proportional to ticket allocation
- response time inversely proportional to ticket allocation

Proportional-Share Fairness

- direct control over service rates
- easily understood behavior

Supports Dynamic Environments

- immediately adapts to changes
- fair chance to win each allocation

Managing Diverse Resources

- Processor Time
- Lock Access
- I/O Bandwidth
 - disk bandwidth
 - network bandwidth

Space-Shared Resources

- resident VM pages
- disk buffer cache

Flexible Resource Management

Ticket Transfers

- explicit transfer between clients
- useful when client blocks while waiting

Ticket Inflation

- client creates more tickets
- violates modularity and load insulation
- convenient among mutually trusting clients

Ticket Currencies

- Tickets Denominated in Currencies
- Modular Resource Management
 - locally contain effects of inflation
 - isolate loads across logical trust boundaries

Powerful Abstraction

- name, share, and protect resource rights
- flexibly group or isolate users and tasks

Currency Implementation



Computing Values

- currency: sum value of backing tickets
- ticket: compute share of currency value

Example

- task1 funding in base units?
- $\frac{100}{300} \times 1000$
- 333 base units

Kernel Implementation

- Objects: Ticket, Currency
- Operations
 - create/destroy ticket, currency
 - fund/unfund currency
 - compute value of ticket, currency
- Algorithms
 - straightforward list-based lottery
 - simple currency conversion scheme

Prototype

Platform

- modified Mach 3.0 microkernel (MK82)
- 25 MHz DECStation 5000/125
- 100 millisecond quantum

System Overhead

- overhead comparable to standard scheduler
- lightweight core mechanism
- unoptimized prototype

Experiments

- Proportional-Share Service Rates
- Dynamic Ticket Inflation
- Client-Server Ticket Transfers
- Currency Load Insulation
- Lock Waiting Times

Relative Rates



Fairness Over Time



- Dhrystone benchmark
- two tasks
- 2:1 allocation
- 8-second averages

Monte-Carlo Rates



Query Processing Rates



Currencies Insulate Loads



Lottery-Scheduled Locks

Waiting to Acquire

- waiters transfer funding to lock owner
- lock owner inherits aggregate funding to acquire CPU

Release

- return funding to waiters
- hold lottery among waiters
- new winner inherits funding
- Avoids Priority Inversion

- Groups A, B with 2:1 Allocation
- Acquire, Hold 50ms, Release, Compute 50ms
- Average Waiting Time
 - A waits 450ms, B waits 948ms
 - 1:2.11 response time ratio
- Lock Acquisitions
 - A completes 763, B completes 423
 - 1.80:1 throughput ratio

Related Work

- Priority Schedulers
- Fair-Share Schedulers
 - dynamically manipulate priorities
 - [Hen84,Kay88,Hel93]

Microeconomic Schedulers

- auctions, bidding for resources
- [Dre88,Fer88,Wal92]

AN2 Network Switch Scheduler

- statistical matching technique
- [And93]

Conclusions

- Novel Randomized Scheduling Mechanism
- Easily Understood Behavior
- Precise Control Over Service Rates
- Modular Resource Management
- Simple, Efficient Implementation
- Generalizes to Diverse Resources